

ASX CODE: BMR

## BOARD

**Nick Jorss**  
Chairman

**David A-Izzeddin**  
Executive Director -  
Technical

**Andrew Gilbert**  
Executive Director -  
Operations

**Andrew Greville**  
Director

## HEAD OFFICE

Suite 606  
Level 6  
10 Market St  
Brisbane QLD 4000

+617 3212 6299  
[ballymoreres.com](http://ballymoreres.com)

## DRILLING CONFIRMS LARGE GOLD SYSTEM IN RAVENSWOOD PROJECT

Seventy Mile Mount drilling has reported shallow, broad gold intersections associated with a newly recognised breccia zone and extended the previously defined zone of mineralisation

Significant new intersections include:

- 40m @ 1.06 g/t Au from 47m (including 3m @ 9.38 g/t Au) in BSMDD001
- 15m @ 0.55 g/t Au from 76m (including 5m @ 1.44 g/t Au) in BSMDD002

Matched with historic drilling, these results support the interpretation that Seventy Mile Mount is a zoned system with grade improving with depth. Historic drilling results include:

- 28m @ 2.89 g/t Au from 260m (including 8m @ 9.21 g/t Au) in LSD002

Follow-up drilling is planned to test the breccia target at depth

Ballymore Resources Limited ("Ballymore" or "the Company") is pleased to announce that significant gold assay results have been received for the initial drilling program at the Seventy Mile Mount prospect, within the Ravenswood Project area. These assay results demonstrate the potential for Seventy Mile Mount to host a significant breccia-hosted gold deposit similar in style to Mount Leyshon (3.8 Moz Au) and Mount Wright (1.0 Moz Au).

**Ballymore Technical Director, David A-Izzeddin, commented:**

*"The Seventy Mile Mount breccia target has a lot of similarities to major breccia-hosted gold deposits in the region and these recent holes are the first completed in the area since 2004. These holes targeted a previously unrecognised breccia zone with similarities to the higher grade breccia zones present at Mount Leyshon and Mount Wright, both major gold producers in the local region in the past 30 years.*

*This initial drilling program was designed to test the continuity of the gold from the eastern margins to the west, and has proven successful in intersecting shallow, ore grade mineralisation 200m west of the zone of best historic drill results. Initial assessment of the breccias and geochemical data suggests that we are at the top of a zoned system similar to Mount Wright. The only previous deep drilling at Seventy Mile Mount was completed on the eastern margin of this breccia zone and these results support the interpretation that grades will improve with depth. A large area of this breccia remains untested beneath the latest holes and planning is now underway to follow-up this initial drilling campaign.*






Figure 1. Breccia- and vein-hosted mineralisation grading 3m @ 9.38 g/t Au (BSMDD001: 49 – 52m)

## Seventy Mile Mount Drilling Results

Seventy Mile Mount is located within the highly prospective Mount Leyshon Corridor, some 20km south of Charters Towers and 7km east-northeast of Mount Leyshon. Drilling was completed in November 2021 and targeted a milled polymictic breccia which Ballymore identified on the northern margin of the Seventy Mile Mount breccia pipe. The surface expression of the breccia zone is approximately 300m x 50m in area and it remains poorly tested at depth.

The initial drill program at Seventy Mile Mount comprised two diamond drill holes for a total of 654m, testing a gap in previous drilling on the targeted breccia. Both holes intersected broad zones of altered breccias similar in style to Mount Leyshon mineralisation. These mineralised breccias were consistent with the geological model developed from mapping completed by Ballymore.

Assay results have now been received and confirmed the presence of broad, shallow zones of gold mineralisation associated with the mapped target breccia. Significant intersections included:

- 40m @ 1.06 g/t Au including 3m @ 9.38 g/t Au (BSMDD001: 47 – 87m)
- 15m @ 0.55 g/t Au including 5m @ 1.44 g/t Au (BSMDD002: 76 – 91m)




Figure 2. Long section of the Seventy Mile Mount breccia pipe-hosted gold target looking south with historic drill intersections.


Significant drill intersections include the following:

*Table 1. Summary of significant drill intersections*

Cut-Off (Au g/t)	Hole	From	To	Interval	Au g/t
0.1	BSMDD001	8.00	9.00	1.00	0.10
<b>0.1</b>	<b>BSMDD001</b>	<b>15.00</b>	<b>36.00</b>	<b>21.00</b>	<b>0.35</b>
1.0	Including	30.00	31.00	1.00	3.23
<b>0.1</b>	<b>BSMDD001</b>	<b>47.00</b>	<b>87.00</b>	<b>40.00</b>	<b>1.06</b>
<b>1.0</b>	<b>Including</b>	<b>49.00</b>	<b>52.00</b>	<b>3.00</b>	<b>9.38</b>
1.0	And	70.00	71.00	1.00	5.59
1.0	And	73.00	74.00	1.00	3.92
0.5	And	78.00	79.00	1.00	0.59
0.1	BSMDD001	93.00	97.00	4.00	0.23
0.5	Including	96.00	97.00	1.00	0.78
0.1	BSMDD001	110.00	111.00	1.00	0.10
0.1	BSMDD001	136.00	137.00	1.00	0.11
0.1	BSMDD001	192.00	193.00	1.00	0.22
0.1	BSMDD001	258.00	260.00	2.00	0.77
1.0	Including	258.00	259.00	1.00	1.43
0.1	BSMDD002	1.40	2.00	0.60	0.10
0.1	BSMDD002	10.00	15.00	5.00	0.26
0.5	Including	14.00	15.00	1.00	0.58
0.1	BSMDD002	20.00	38.00	18.00	0.17
<b>0.1</b>	<b>BSMDD002</b>	<b>45.00</b>	<b>70.00</b>	<b>25.00</b>	<b>0.32</b>
<b>0.5</b>	<b>Including</b>	<b>51.00</b>	<b>56.00</b>	<b>5.00</b>	<b>1.13</b>
<b>0.1</b>	<b>BSMDD002</b>	<b>76.00</b>	<b>91.00</b>	<b>15.00</b>	<b>0.55</b>
<b>0.5</b>	<b>Including</b>	<b>76.00</b>	<b>81.00</b>	<b>5.00</b>	<b>1.44</b>
<b>1.0</b>	<b>Including</b>	<b>78.00</b>	<b>81.00</b>	<b>3.00</b>	<b>2.14</b>
0.1	BSMDD002	98.00	101.00	3.00	0.30
0.5	Including	100.00	101.00	1.00	0.50
0.1	BSMDD002	106.00	123.00	17.00	0.12
0.1	BSMDD002	132.00	133.00	1.00	0.10
0.1	BSMDD002	143.00	144.00	1.00	0.18
0.1	BSMDD002	194.00	200.00	6.00	0.26
0.5	Including	198.00	200.00	2.00	0.63
0.1	BSMDD002	221.00	223.00	2.00	0.26
0.1	BSMDD002	229.00	231.00	2.00	0.13

Seventy Mile Mount is a hydrothermal breccia pipe which forms a prominent conical hill that is 300m in diameter. It exhibits a lot of similarities with other mineralised breccia pipes in the region including Mount Wright and Mount Leyshon, which both occur in proximity to the prospect along the well-endowed Mount Leyshon Corridor. All of these deposits exhibit multiple phases of brecciation with gold associated with particular breccias. Ballymore has recognised multiple phases of breccias at Seventy Mile Mount with a well-developed, polymictic breccia sitting on the margin of the more extensive granite breccia, which dominates over much of the mount.

This prospect has some similarities with the Mount Wright deposit. In both cases, the main ore zone occurs on the margin of a granite breccia. The Mount Wright gold deposit (Total Resource ~ 1.0 Moz @ 3.3 g/t Au) is hosted within a 200 m x 60 m wide, vertical, breccia pipe. The pipe itself is weakly mineralised near surface (typically 0.2 - 0.5 g/t in the top 100m), but grades increase with depth and average around 4.0 g/t between 500 – 800 m below the surface.



**Figure 3.** Cross section of the top part of the Mount Wright mine (After Resolute Mining, 2012) and the Seventy Mile Mount breccia-hosted gold deposit comparing dimensions and grade distribution in the top 500m of the respective deposits. The Mount Wright mine was operated by Resolute Mining between 2006 and 2019 and was developed to over 900m depth, producing over 1.0Moz Au

The discovery hole at Mount Wright targeted a distinct polymictic breccia at depth, despite subdued results in shallow holes drilled in this area. Like Mount Wright, Ballymore's initial drill program at Seventy Mile Mount has targeted a similar geological target. Furthermore, drilling results at Seventy Mile Mount indicate that gold grades are increasing at depth (Figure 2 & 3). Five deep holes (+200m) on the eastern margin of the breccia zone intersected high grade gold mineralisation, however it remains relatively untested at depth on the western margins. A substantial volume of breccia remains untested with initial drilling results confirming the presence of a significant gold system.

A more detailed review of the drilling results (including geological, structural and geochemical data) has commenced, and a follow up drilling program is being planned to test deeper sections of the Seventy Mile Mount target later in 2022.

### Matthews Pinnacle CEI Target

Matthews Pinnacle drill hole, BMPDD001, was drilled to test the potential for a porphyry copper-gold target associated with a pipelike magnetic anomaly and resistivity low surrounded by a chargeable anomaly and significant geochemical anomaly. A proposal to test this target with a 500m drill hole was submitted by Ballymore and awarded funding of A\$100,000 as a part of the Geological Survey of Queensland's Collaborative Exploration Initiative (CEI).

The drill hole encountered numerous discrete quartz veins hosting sphalerite (zinc mineral), chalcopyrite (copper mineral), molybdenite (molybdenum mineral) and galena (lead mineral) within an altered diorite intrusive host rock. Assay results are still awaited and extreme delays are being experienced in laboratory turnaround times by all explorers due to large back logs of samples and the impact of COVID-19 on staffing numbers. Results for the Matthews Pinnacle hole are now expected in March.

### About Ravenswood Project

The Ravenswood Project is situated in the Charters Towers Province in northeast Queensland, approximately 20 - 60km south of Charters Towers. The Ravenswood Project contains numerous prospects, historic drill intersections and geochemical anomalies located within the 17 Moz

Ravenswood gold province including Mount Leyshon style breccia pipe targets including the Seventy Mile Mount prospect.

The Project consists of EPM's 18424, 18426, 18637, 25466 and 25467, which comprise a total of 96 sub-blocks and encompass an area of 309km<sup>2</sup>. On the 31<sup>st</sup> October 2019, Ballymore Resources Limited entered into a farm-in agreement and joint venture with ActivEX Limited for the Ravenswood Project with Ballymore Resources earning-in to the tenements. In August 2021 Ballymore earned its initial 51% stake in the project and subsequently acquired the remaining 49% from ActivEX on 18<sup>th</sup> November 2021.

## Next Steps

- Receive assay results for Matthews Pinnacle CEI drill hole, Ravenswood Project
- Commence drilling at Ruddygore mine, Ruddygore Project, scheduled to start in March 2022
- Design Stage 2 drilling program for Seventy Mile Mount, Ravenswood Project
- Complete soil sampling and mapping program at Cedar Ridge, Dittmer Project
- Complete mapping of Day Dawn and Tea Tree prospects, Ravenswood Project

## About Ballymore Resources

Ballymore Resources Limited is a minerals exploration company committed to the acquisition, identification, and delineation of new resource projects through active exploration. The Ballymore portfolio is focussed on copper and gold projects, with substantial tenement packages in north Queensland. Ballymore has three project areas at Dittmer, Ruddygore and Ravenswood. These consist of two granted Mining Leases (MLs), fourteen granted Exploration Permits for Minerals (EPMs) and an EPM application covering an area of 1,456 km<sup>2</sup>.

**Approved by the Board of Ballymore Resources Limited.**

---

**For further information:**

**David A-Izzeddin**

Technical Director

daizzeddin@ballymoreres.com

## Competent Persons Statement

The information in this announcement that relates to Exploration Results is based on information compiled or reviewed by Mr David A-Izzeddin. The Company is not aware of any new information or data that materially affects the information included in these Company Announcements and in the case of reported Mineral Resources, all material assumptions and technical parameters underpinning the estimates continue to apply and have not materially changed. Mr A-Izzeddin is a Member of The Australasian Institute of Geoscientists and is an employee of the Company. Mr A-Izzeddin has sufficient experience which is relevant to the style of mineralisation and type of deposit under consideration and to the activity which he is undertaking to qualify as a Competent Person as defined in the 2012 Edition of the 'Australasian Code for Reporting of Exploration Results, Mineral Resources and Ore Reserves'. Mr A-Izzeddin consents to the inclusion in the announcement of the matters based on his information in the form and context in which it applies. The Exploration Targets described in this announcement are conceptual in nature and there is insufficient information to establish whether further exploration will result in the determination of Mineral Resources.

## Exploration Results & Exploration Target

Ballymore confirms that Exploration Results and Exploration Targets used in this document were estimated, reported and reviewed in accordance with the guidelines of the Australian Code for the Reporting of Exploration Results, Mineral Resources and Ore Reserves (The JORC Code) 2012 edition. Ballymore confirms that it is not aware of any new information or data that materially affects the Exploration Results or Exploration Target information included in the following announcements:

\*1 - Ballymore Prospectus released on 1 September 2021

\*2 – "Drilling commences as Ballymore Resources commences trading on ASX" released on 3 September 2021

\*3 – "Acquisition of 100% of Ravenswood Project" released on 18 November 2021

\*4 – "Seventy Mile Mount Drilling and CEI Hole Completed" released on 14 December 2021

## Forward-Looking Statements

Certain statements made during or in connection with this statement contain or comprise certain forward-looking statements regarding the Company's Mineral Resources, exploration operations and other economic performance and financial conditions as well as general market outlook. Although the Company believes that the expectations reflected in such forward-looking statements are reasonable, such expectations are only predictions and are subject to inherent risks and uncertainties which could cause actual values, results, performance or achievements to differ materially from those expressed, implied or projected in any forward-looking statements and no assurance can be given that such expectations will prove to have been correct.

Accordingly, results could differ materially from those set out in the forward-looking statements as a result of, among other factors, changes in economic and market conditions, delays or changes in project development, success of business and operating initiatives, changes in the regulatory environment and other government actions, fluctuations in commodity prices and exchange rates and business and operational risk management. Except for statutory liability which cannot be excluded, each of the Company, its officers, employees and advisors expressly disclaim any responsibility for the accuracy or completeness of the material contained in this statement and excludes all liability whatsoever (including in negligence) for any loss or damage which may be suffered by any person as a consequence of any information in this statement or any error or omission. The Company undertakes no obligation to update publicly or release any revisions to these forward-looking statements to reflect events or circumstances after today's date or to reflect the occurrence of unanticipated events other than required by the Corporations Act and ASX Listing Rules. Accordingly, you should not place undue reliance on any forward-looking statement.

## APPENDIX 1. BALLYMORE RAVENSWOOD DRILL COLLAR INFORMATION

Hole	Target	East*	North*	RL	Tdepth	Dip	Azimuth
BSMDD001	Seventy Mile Mount	431595	7761439	363	351.04	-60	120
BSMDD002	Seventy Mile Mount	431600	7761445	364	303	-60	140
BMPDD001	Matthews Pinnacle	429680	7759880	324	491.77	-60	265

\* Projection: GDA94, Zone 55

## APPENDIX 2. RAVENSWOOD – JORC CODE TABLE 1 CHECKLIST OF ASSESSMENT AND REPORTING CRITERIA

### Section 1: Sampling Techniques and Data

CRITERIA	JORC Code Explanation	Commentary
SAMPLING TECHNIQUES	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Nature and quality of sampling (e.g., cut channels, random chips, or specific specialised industry standard measurement tools appropriate to the minerals under investigation, such as down hole gamma sondes, or handheld XRF instruments, etc). These examples should not be taken as limiting the broad meaning of sampling.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Exploration has been undertaken at the Project since the early 1950s. Sampling methods have included surface rock chip and trenching, soil, and stream sediment samples, together with drillhole samples comprising open hole percussion, RC percussion, and diamond core samples.</li> <li>Geochemistry from soil and stream sediment samples is used semi-quantitatively to guide further exploration and is not used for Mineral Resource estimation.</li> <li>The accuracy of rock chip geochemistry is generally high but these samples are spot samples and generally not used in Mineral Resource estimation.</li> <li>The accuracy of trench and channel geochemistry is generally high. These samples are regularly used in Mineral Resource estimation.</li> <li>The quality of open hole percussion drilling is generally low because there is a likelihood of contamination of samples. Consequently, these samples are generally used to guide further exploration and are not used for Mineral Resource estimation.</li> <li>The quality of RC percussion drilling is generally medium – high because the method significantly reduces the potential of contamination, unless there is a lot of groundwater or badly broken ground. Consequently, these samples can be representative of the interval drilled and can be used for Mineral Resource estimation.</li> <li>The quality of diamond coring is generally medium – high because the method is designed to sample the rock mass effectively in most conditions. Consequently, these samples can be representative of the interval drilled and can be used for Mineral Resource estimation.</li> <li>Ballymore rock chip samples were collected from outcrop, subcrop, float material, as well as mullock samples.</li> </ul>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Include reference to measures taken to ensure sample representivity and the appropriate calibration of any measurement tools or systems used.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No information is available documenting measures to ensure sample representivity for surface sampling methods. These methods are not used for Mineral Resource estimation.</li> <li>Trench and channel sampling is an established method designed to deliver a representative sample of the interval being sampled.</li> <li>RC drilling is an established method designed to minimise drilling-induced contamination of samples, aimed to deliver a representative sample of the interval being drilled. Diamond drilling is also an established method aimed at collecting representative samples of the interval being drilled.</li> </ul>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Aspects of the determination of mineralisation that are Material to the Public Report. In cases where 'industry standard' work has been done this would be relatively simple (e.g., 'reverse circulation drilling was used to obtain 1 m samples from which 3 kg was pulverised to</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Economic gold mineralisation is measured in terms of parts per million and therefore rigorous sampling techniques must be adopted to ensure quantitative, precise measurements of gold concentration. If gold is present as medium – coarse grains, the entire sampling, sub-</li> </ul>



CRITERIA	JORC Code Explanation	Commentary
	<p>produce a 30 g charge for fire assay'). In other cases, more explanation may be required, such as where there is coarse gold that has inherent sampling problems. Unusual commodities or mineralisation types (e.g., submarine nodules) may warrant disclosure of detailed information.</p>	<p>sampling, and analytical process must be more stringent. Where the main mineralisation is copper, this is measured as a percentage and therefore sampling techniques can be somewhat less rigorous than for gold.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>At Ravenswood, gold can be visible and therefore there are inherent sampling problems. Procedures used to manage this problem are documented elsewhere in relevant sub-sections of this table.</li> </ul>
<p>DRILLING TECHNIQUES</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Drill type (e.g., core, reverse circulation, open-hole hammer, rotary air blast, auger, Bangka, sonic, etc) and details (e.g., core diameter, triple or standard tube, depth of diamond tails, face-sampling bit, or other type, whether core is oriented and if so, by what method, etc).</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Numerous drilling programs have been recorded across the Project area since the 1980s comprising mostly RC and diamond drilling.</li> <li>Most drilling is inconsistently documented and therefore details on hole sizes, bit types and other drilling parameters are sparse.</li> <li>Ballymore completed 3 diamond drillholes in HQ triple tube size (1,145.81m) in 2021. All holes were oriented using an Ace instrument.</li> </ul>
<p>DRILL SAMPLE RECOVERY</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Method of recording and assessing core and chip sample recoveries and results assessed.</li> <li>Measures taken to maximise sample recovery and ensure representative nature of the samples.</li> <li>Whether a relationship exists between sample recovery and grade and whether sample bias may have occurred due to preferential loss/gain of fine/coarse material.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>For most programs, no information is available documenting if sample recovery was routinely recorded. Aberfoyle (1980s) reported sample recoveries of typically &gt;85% in percussion drillholes.</li> <li>No assessment of historic sample recovery has been made.</li> <li>Sample recovery for Ballymore drilling in 2021 was measured on a per-run basis and generally reported to be greater than 99%</li> <li>No information is available documenting measures to maximise sample recovery or ensure collection of representative samples.</li> <li>No assessment has been completed to determine if there is a relationship between sample recovery and grade, and whether there is any potential for sample bias associated with the drilling used to date.</li> </ul>
<p>LOGGING</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Whether core and chip samples have been geologically and geotechnically logged to a level of detail to support appropriate Mineral Resource estimation, mining studies and metallurgical studies.</li> <li>Whether logging is qualitative or quantitative in nature. Core (or costean, channel, etc) photography.</li> <li>The total length and percentage of the relevant intersections logged.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Most historic drill logs document logging for lithology, structure, alteration, mineralisation, and veining. No core photography is available.</li> <li>Logging information for historic holes are possibly adequate to support future Mineral Resource estimation but will be reassessed if required.</li> <li>Ballymore drilling: drill core was logged for lithology, structure, alteration, mineralisation, and veining, which is deemed to be appropriate for the style of mineralisation and the lithologies encountered. All core was photographed. Logging information is adequate to support Mineral Resource estimation. Information to support geotechnical studies is available</li> <li>Logging of core is mostly qualitative, except for some semi-quantitative logging of sulphide content, quartz veining, RQD, and geotechnical parameters.</li> <li>Geological logs were completed for all drilled intervals.</li> </ul>
<p>SUB-SAMPLING TECHNIQUES AND SAMPLE PREPARATION</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>If core, whether cut or sawn and whether quarter, half or all core taken.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Different companies used different sampling intervals that ranged from a nominal minimum of 1 m to a nominal maximum of 4 m. Not all drilled intervals were sampled.</li> <li>No information is available on whether the historic core was cut or split or the size of the core samples submitted for analysis.</li> </ul>

CRITERIA	JORC Code Explanation	Commentary
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>If non-core, whether riffled, tube sampled, rotary split, etc and whether sampled wet or dry.</li> <li>For all sample types, the nature, quality, and appropriateness of the sample preparation technique.</li> <li>Quality control procedures adopted for all sub-sampling stages to maximise representivity of samples.</li> <li>Measures taken to ensure that the sampling is representative of the in situ material collected, including for instance results for field duplicate/second-half sampling.</li> <li>Whether sample sizes are appropriate to the grain size of the material being sampled.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Ballymore drilling: Ballymore cut core samples in half or quarter using a diamond saw and where appropriate used geological contacts or mineralisation to define sample intervals.</li> <li>No information is available on moisture content of historic percussion samples.</li> <li>Limited information is reported for subsampling of percussion chips. Some companies report the use of cyclones at rigs and/or spearing of sample intervals to collect a sample for laboratory analysis.</li> <li>Ballymore has not completed any percussion drilling</li> <li>Limited details of the laboratory preparation of samples were recorded for historic drilling. It is assumed that sample preparation methods used by all commercial laboratories followed the basic steps of drying, crushing, and pulverising, but details of the amount of the sample crushed and pulverised are not known. Therefore, it is not possible to assess the quality and appropriateness of the sample preparation techniques.</li> <li>Ballymore drilling: Half core was submitted to the laboratory, generally 2 – 3 kg per sample. All of the core was dried, crushed to -6 mm, then pulverised to 85% - 75 µm. This method is considered appropriate for mineralisation that may have visible gold mineralisation.</li> <li>Limited information has been recorded that documents quality control procedures adopted for all sub-sampling stages to maximise representivity of samples in historic drilling.</li> <li>Ballymore drilling: Drill core samples of cut core were consistently taken from the same side of the orientation line on the core to maintain consistency. All of the sample was crushed and pulverised to maximise sample representativity. Pulverised samples were tested for compliance to grinding specifications at the rate of 1 in 40.</li> <li>No information has been recorded that documents measures taken to ensure that the sampling is representative of the in situ material collected in historic drilling.</li> <li>Ballymore drilling: QA/QC procedures included the insertion of quarter core field duplicates at the insertion rate of 1 in 20 samples. Field blanks were also submitted to the laboratory.</li> <li>No formal assessment has been undertaken to quantify the appropriate sample size required for good quality determination of gold content, given the nature of the gold mineralisation.</li> </ul>
<p>QUALITY OF ASSAY DATA AND LABORATORY TESTS</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The nature, quality and appropriateness of the assaying and laboratory procedures used and whether the technique is considered partial or total.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Limited detailed information has been recorded that documents the nature, quality, and appropriateness of assaying methods used for any of the historic drilling programs. Where gold was analysed, it was undertaken by aqua regia digest and AAS finish, or more generally by fire assay method. Where other elements were analysed, earlier programs tended to analyse for a limited suite e.g., Cu, Pb, Zn, Ag. Some later programs used a large multi-element suite analysed by ICP.</li> <li>Ballymore drilling and rock chip samples were analysed at ALS Townsville using a multi-element suite by aqua regia digestion and ICP-MS finish. For most elements, this is considered as a total analysis. Gold was analysed with a 50</li> </ul>

CRITERIA	JORC Code Explanation	Commentary
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>For geophysical tools, spectrometers, handheld XRF instruments, etc, the parameters used in determining the analysis including instrument make and model, reading times, calibrations factors applied and their derivation, etc.</li> <li>Nature of quality control procedures adopted (e.g., standards, blanks, duplicates, external laboratory checks) and whether acceptable levels of accuracy (i.e., lack of bias) and precision have been established.</li> </ul>	<p>g charge used for fire assay with an ICP-AES determination. Normally the gold analysis would be considered a total analysis.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>In 2015 ActivEX completed a pXRF soil survey over the King Solomon – Rose of Allandale workings on EPM 18637. 1,117 readings acquired on north-south traverses spaced 50 m apart with a nominal reading interval of 100 – 200 m. The survey was carried out using a Niton XL3t-950 handheld XRF analyser on ‘Soil’ mode, using three filters, each with 30 second duration to give a total analysing time of 90 seconds.</li> <li>Soil samples were prepared by scuffing a 10 cm<sup>2</sup> area to remove any light vegetation and immediate top soil. The instrument was then used to analyse the area directly. The analyser window was checked for any foreign contaminant between samples. Niton XL3t-950 handhelds are able to detect 34 elements on ‘Soil’ mode, using three filters, each with 30 second duration (Ag, As, Au, Ba, Ca, Cd, Co, Cr, Cs, Cu, Fe, Hg, K, Mn, Mo, Ni, Pb, Pd, Rb, S, Sb, Sc, Se, Sn, Sr, Te, Th, Ti, U, V, W, Y, Zn, Zr).</li> <li>Limited details of the use of standards or certified reference materials have been reported for historic drilling.</li> <li>Ballymore drilling: In addition to blanks and field duplicates, 4 commercial CRMs of low grade to high grade gold ore material were prepared and certified for Au, Ag and Cu by Ore Research &amp; Exploration Services Pty Ltd. These were incorporated into the sampling stream to achieve an overall insertion rate of 1 duplicate, blank or CRM for every 10 core samples.</li> </ul>
<p>VERIFICATION OF SAMPLING AND ASSAYING</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The verification of significant intersections by either independent or alternative company personnel.</li> <li>The use of twinned holes.</li> <li>Documentation of primary data, data entry procedures, data verification, data storage (physical and electronic) protocols.</li> <li>Discuss any adjustment to assay data.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>It has not been possible to independently verify significant intersections for historic drilling.</li> <li>There has been no use of twinned holes to date.</li> <li>Ballymore has collated and created a digital database of previous exploration completed at the Project.</li> <li>Ballymore drilling: Primary logging data was recorded digitally onto electronic spread sheets and validated against code tables by the logging geologist. Primary analytical data was received electronically in csv file format and imported directly into an electronic assay register spread sheet. Data validation was conducted by comparing the spreadsheet data against the Certificate of Analysis supplied as a secured pdf file by the laboratory.</li> <li>No adjustments to assay data have been made.</li> </ul>
<p>LOCATION OF DATA POINTS</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Accuracy and quality of surveys used to locate drill holes (collar and down-hole surveys), trenches, mine workings and other locations used in Mineral Resource estimation.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No details of the accuracy and quality of surveys used to locate drillholes (collar and down-hole surveys) is recorded. Drillhole collar locations were typically based on local grids and the accuracy of drill collars has not been verified to date.</li> <li>Ballymore surface geochemical sampling is surveyed using a handheld GPS with a location error of +/- 5m.</li> <li>Ballymore surface drilling: Drillhole collar locations were initially set out (and reported) using a handheld GPS with a location error of +/- 5m. All holes were subsequently surveyed by contract surveyor to a sub-metre accuracy, with data supplied electronically as spreadsheets</li> </ul>

CRITERIA	JORC Code Explanation	Commentary
		and pdf files. The azimuth and dip at the start of the hole was recorded using a line of sight Suunto compass and Suunto clinometer by the site geologist. The orientation and dip of drillholes are measured with downhole surveys @ 15 m, 30 m, then every 30 m using a REFLEX single/multi-shot survey tool. End of hole surveys were also taken for each hole. At hole completion, holes were gyro surveyed.
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● Specification of the grid system used.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● The co-ordinate system used is MGA94 zone 55 Datum.</li> </ul>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● Quality and adequacy of topographic control.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● Quality of the topographic control data is poor and is currently reliant on public domain data.</li> </ul>
DATA SPACING AND DISTRIBUTION	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● Data spacing for reporting of Exploration Results.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● There is a relatively small amount of drilling to date at Seventy Mile Mount, Matthews Pinnacle, Puddler Creek, Day Dawn, Radical, Cockfields, Lighthouse, Just In Time, Westgate, Matthews South, Rishton Sands and Red Dust prospects. The spacing of drillhole data is variable.</li> </ul>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● Whether the data spacing and distribution is sufficient to establish the degree of geological and grade continuity appropriate for the Mineral Resource and Ore Reserve estimation procedure(s) and classifications applied.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● There are no Mineral Resources or Ore Reserves.</li> <li>● There is insufficient drill spacing to establish the degree of geological and grade continuity appropriate for Mineral Resource and Ore Reserve estimation.</li> </ul>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● Whether sample compositing has been applied.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● Some sample compositing was carried out on site within some of the percussion drilling e.g., Aurora Gold (1993) composited the 1 m RC drillhole samples into 4 m composites for initial analysis, and Rishton Gold (1996) composited the 1 m RC drillhole samples into 3 m composites.</li> <li>● For reporting purposes, some drillhole assay results have been composited together to report contiguous zones of mineralisation.</li> </ul>
ORIENTATION OF DATA IN RELATION TO GEOLOGICAL STRUCTURE	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● Whether the orientation of sampling achieves unbiased sampling of possible structures and the extent to which this is known, considering the deposit type.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● Previous drillholes were generally sited to intersect interpreted mineralised zones at a high angle, however, only limited drilling has been completed to date and further drilling will be required to establish the optimal orientation.</li> <li>● To the extent known, drilling is assumed to be unbiased.</li> </ul>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● If the relationship between the drilling orientation and the orientation of key mineralised structures is considered to have introduced a sampling bias, this should be assessed and reported if material.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● No sampling bias is considered to have been introduced in drilling completed.</li> </ul>
SAMPLE SECURITY	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● The measures taken to ensure sample security.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● No chain of custody is documented for previous drilling.</li> <li>● For Ballymore sampling programs, all work was supervised by company staff. Samples were double bagged, palletised and shrink wrapped at the core shed before dispatch to the laboratory.</li> </ul>
AUDITS OR REVIEWS	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● The results of any audits or reviews of sampling techniques and data.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● Ballymore programs: Internal auditing procedures and reviews were regularly undertaken on sampling techniques, standard operating procedures, and laboratory processes.</li> <li>● Derisk has completed a review of the work Ballymore has undertaken.</li> </ul>

## Section 2: Reporting of Exploration Results

CRITERIA	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
MINERAL TENEMENT AND LAND TENURE STATUS	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Type, reference name/number, location and ownership including agreements or material issues with third parties such as joint ventures, partnerships, overriding royalties, native title interests, historical sites, wilderness or national park and environmental settings.</li> <li>The security of the tenure held at the time of reporting along with any known impediments to obtaining a licence to operate in the area.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The Project tenements comprise EPM 18424, EPM 18426, EPM 18637, EPM 25466, and EPM 25467.</li> <li>Ballymore earned its initial 51% stake in the project and subsequently acquired the remaining 49% from ActivEX on 18<sup>th</sup> November 2021.</li> <li>All tenements are in good standing.</li> </ul>
EXPLORATION DONE BY OTHER PARTIES	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Acknowledgment and appraisal of exploration by other parties.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Numerous exploration permits and mining leases have been held over parts and/or all of the Project area. Previous exploration has included geological mapping, soil and rock chip geochemical sampling, airborne and ground geophysics, plus RC and diamond drilling. Major programs included:                             <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Aberfoyle Exploration (1983 – 1985) completed an IP survey, VLF EM survey, horizontal loop EM, geological mapping, soil sampling, petrology, ground magnetic survey, panned concentrate samples, percussion drilling around Seventy Mile Mount and Middle Mount (5 holes for 586 m).</li> <li>Pajingo Gold Mine/Battle Mountain (Australia) Inc (1985 – 1988) completed reconnaissance and detailed mapping, prospecting, costeaning, rock chip sampling, drilling at Cockfields and Seventy Mile Creek (19 holes for 449.5 m).</li> <li>Aurora Gold Limited/North Queensland Resources/Newmont Holdings /BHP Minerals (1981 – 1994) completed work including photogeological interpretation, rock chip sampling, stream sediment sampling, soil sampling, geological mapping, percussion drilling (9 holes for 394 m), magnetic susceptibility traverses, metallurgical testwork, engineering studies, resource estimates, ore reserves. Key prospects explored within the Ravenswood project included Day Dawn, Radical, Cornishman and Alfonso.</li> <li>Pan Australian Mining (1982 – 1992) completed airborne magnetics/radiometrics, geological mapping, aerial photography, BCL stream sediment sampling, prospecting, rock chip sampling, gridding, ground magnetics, trenching and percussion drilling at Lighthouse and Just In Time (11 holes for 321.5 m).</li> <li>Esso Australia (1983 – 1985) completed stream sediment sampling, mapping, literature review, aerial photography, re-interpretation of stream sediment data, petrology, trenching at Matthew Pinnacle, Matthews South and Westgate (30 trenches for 1,164 m), RC drilling at Westgate, Puddler Creek, Pinnacle Creek, and Matthews Pinnacle (13 holes for 682 m) and diamond drilling at Westgate and Pinnacle Creek (4 holes for 239 m).</li> <li>Mount Leyshon Gold Mines (1991 – 2009) completed geological mapping, rock chip sampling, soil sampling, aerial photography, ground magnetic survey, gravity survey, 3D pole – dipole IP survey, RAB drilling, RC drilling, diamond drilling at Puddler Creek, Seventy Mile Mount, Matthews Pinnacle (145 holes for 14,568.77 m).</li> <li>Rishton Gold (1995 – 2008) completed desktop studies, literature review, ground reconnaissance, geological mapping, ground magnetics survey, gridding, soil sampling, rock chip sampling, aircore</li> </ul> </li> </ul>

CRITERIA	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
		<p>bedrock drilling at Rishton Sands (57 holes for 1,140 m).</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Union Oil Development Corporation (1988 – 1989) reviewed multispectral data, completed reconnaissance and grid mapping at Mt Cornishman, rock chip sampling, stream sediment sampling, acquisition of aeromagnetic and radiometric data, RC drilling at Red Dust (15 holes for 630 m).</li> </ul>
GEOLOGY	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● Deposit type, geological setting, and style of mineralisation.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● The Ravenswood Project is located within the Ravenswood Batholith in the Mount Windsor Subprovince of the Charters Towers Province, within the Thomson Orogen, part of the northern Tasman Fold Belt System.</li> <li>● Ballymore considers that the Project is prospective for: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Devonian intrusive-hosted mesothermal gold veins e.g., Charters Towers Goldfield.</li> <li>○ Carboniferous intrusive-hosted mesothermal gold veins e.g., Ravenswood Goldfield.</li> <li>○ Early Permian breccia-hosted gold systems e.g., Mount Leyshon, Mount Wright, Welcome Breccia.</li> <li>○ Late Palaeozoic low sulphidation epithermal gold veins e.g., Pajingo group.</li> <li>○ Cambrian polymetallic volcanic-hosted massive sulphides e.g., Mount Windsor deposits.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
DRILL HOLE INFORMATION	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● A summary of all information material to the understanding of the exploration results including a tabulation of the following information for all Material drill holes: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>– Easting and northing of the drill hole collar.</li> <li>– Elevation or RL (Reduced Level – elevation above sea level in metres) of the drill hole collar.</li> <li>– Dip and azimuth of the hole.</li> <li>– Down hole length and interception depth.</li> <li>– Hole length.</li> </ul> </li> <li>● If the exclusion of this information is justified on the basis that the information is not Material and this exclusion does not detract from the understanding of the report, the Competent Person should clearly explain why this is the case.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● Refer to Appendix 1 &amp; 3.</li> <li>● Refer to Appendix 1 &amp; 3.</li> </ul>
DATA AGGREGATION METHODS	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● In reporting Exploration Results, weighting averaging techniques, maximum and/or minimum grade truncations (e.g., cutting of high grades) and cut-off grades are usually Material and should be stated.</li> <li>● Where aggregate intercepts incorporate short lengths of high grade results and longer lengths of low grade results, the procedure used for such aggregation should be stated and some typical examples of such aggregations should be shown in detail.</li> <li>● The assumptions used for any reporting of metal equivalent values should be clearly stated.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● The mineralised drill intersections are reported as downhole intervals and were not converted to true widths. Where gold repeats were recorded, the average of all the samples was used. True widths may be up to 50% less than drill intersections pending confirmation of mineralisation geometry.</li> <li>● No capping of high grades was performed in the aggregation process.</li> <li>● The drill intercepts reported were calculated using a 0.1 g/t Au cut-off grade. Gold grade for the intercept was calculated as a weighted average grade. Up to 5 m (down hole) of internal waste (&lt; 0.1 g/t Au) was included in some cases.</li> <li>● No metal equivalents are reported.</li> </ul>
RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN MINERALISATION WIDTHS AND INTERCEPT LENGTHS	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● These relationships are particularly important in the reporting of Exploration Results.</li> <li>● If the geometry of the mineralisation with respect to the drill hole angle is known, its nature should be reported.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● Overall, previous drilling orientation and sampling was generally as perpendicular to the mineralisation targets as practicable.</li> <li>● The geometry of the various drill targets has generally been established through mapping and most mineralisation is typically hosted in sub-</li> </ul>

CRITERIA	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>If it is not known and only the down hole lengths are reported, there should be a clear statement to this effect (e.g., 'down hole length, true width not known').</li> </ul>	<p>vertical veining and breccia bodies. Nevertheless, further work is required to establish the optimal angle to test the mineralisation.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The mineralised intercepts generally intersect the interpreted dip of the mineralisation at a high angle but are not true widths.</li> </ul>
DIAGRAMS	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Appropriate maps and sections (with scales) and tabulations of intercepts should be included for any significant discovery being reported. These should include, but not be limited to a plan view of drill hole collar locations and appropriate sectional views.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Refer to figures contained within this report.</li> </ul>
BALANCED REPORTING	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Where comprehensive reporting of all Exploration Results is not practicable, representative reporting of both low and high grades and/or widths should be practiced to avoid misleading reporting of Exploration Results.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Balanced reporting of Exploration Results is presented within this report.</li> </ul>
OTHER SUBSTANTIVE EXPLORATION DATA	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Other exploration data, if meaningful and material, should be reported including (but not limited to): geological observations; geophysical survey results; geochemical survey results; bulk samples – size and method of treatment; metallurgical test results; bulk density, groundwater, geotechnical and rock characteristics; potential deleterious or contaminating substances.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The Project includes a large amount of exploration data collected by previous companies, including regional stream sediment geochemical data, soil sample and rock chip data, geological mapping data, drilling data, geophysical survey data, and costean data. Much of this data has been captured and validated into a GIS database.</li> <li>Previous mining has been limited and involved very selective mining and hand sorting. Limited systematic data has been collected to date to assess metallurgy and mining parameters relevant to a modern operation.</li> </ul>
FURTHER WORK	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The nature and scale of planned further work (e.g., tests for lateral extensions or depth extensions or large-scale step-out drilling).</li> <li>Diagrams clearly highlighting the areas of possible extensions, including the main geological interpretations and future drilling areas, provided this information is not commercially sensitive.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Ballymore plans to conduct surface geological mapping and geochemistry, and drilling across various high-priority target areas over the next two years.</li> <li>Refer to figures contained within this report.</li> </ul>

### APPENDIX 3. RAVENSWOOD HISTORIC DRILLING

Company	Target	HoleID	Hole Type	East (MGA)	North (MGA)	RL	Depth (m)	Dip (°)	Azimuth (° Mag)	Licence	Year
Aberfoyle Exploration	Seventy Mile Mount	PSM1	Percussion	431,427	7,761,333	323	80	-60	132	3466	1984
Aberfoyle Exploration	Seventy Mile Mount	PSM2	Percussion	431,521	7,761,216	327	76	-60	132	3466	1984
Aberfoyle Exploration	Seventy Mile Mount	PSM3	Percussion	431,485	7,761,265	319	136	-60	130	3466	1984
Aberfoyle Exploration	Seventy Mile Mount	PSM4	Percussion	431,611	7,761,311	359	136	-60	170	3466	1984
Aberfoyle Exploration	Seventy Mile Mount	PSM5	Percussion	431,633	7,761,029	313	158	-60	120	3466	1984
Aurora Gold	Cornishman Prospect	CM001	Reverse Circulation	441,980	7,773,031	245	66	-60	340	9471	1993
Aurora Gold	Day Dawn Workings	DDRC001	Reverse Circulation	446,896	7,772,831	235	48	-60	55	4333	1993
Aurora Gold	Day Dawn - Boatswains	DDRC002	Reverse Circulation	447,057	7,772,747	230	40	-60	30	4333	1993
Aurora Gold	Day Dawn Workings	DDRC003	Reverse Circulation	446,804	7,772,970	241	43	-60	60	4333	1993
Aurora Gold	Day Dawn Workings	DDRC004	Reverse Circulation	446,774	7,773,026	241	50	-60	60	4333	1993
Aurora Gold	Radical	RARC001	Reverse Circulation	443,990	7,771,422	259	33	-60	0	5960	1993
Aurora Gold	Radical	RARC002	Reverse Circulation	444,072	7,771,411	260	27	-60	0	5960	1993
Aurora Gold	Radical	RARC003	Reverse Circulation	444,100	7,771,405	261	33	-60	0	5960	1993
Aurora Gold	Radical	RARC004	Reverse Circulation	444,102	7,771,425	261	54	-60	0	5960	1993
Mount Leyshon Gold Mines	Puddler Creek	MMRC001	Reverse Circulation	431,038	7,761,113	340	336	-60	155	4229	1999
Pajingo Gold Mine	Cockfields	CR0001	Reverse Circulation	440,066	7,769,448	273	20	-60	225	4015	1985
Pajingo Gold Mine	Cockfields	CR0002	Reverse Circulation	440,060	7,769,437	272	19	-60	225	4015	1985
Pajingo Gold Mine	Cockfields	CR0003	Reverse Circulation	440,024	7,769,462	272	32	-60	225	4015	1985
Pajingo Gold Mine	Cockfields	CR0004	Reverse Circulation	440,123	7,769,394	272	20	-60	225	4015	1985
Pajingo Gold Mine	Cockfields	CR0005	Reverse Circulation	440,146	7,769,363	272	20	-60	225	4015	1985
Pajingo Gold Mine	Cockfields	CR0006	Reverse Circulation	439,973	7,769,720	279	27	-60	225	4015	1985
Pajingo Gold Mine	Cockfields	CR0007	Reverse Circulation	439,714	7,770,751	264	32	-60	265	4015	1985
Pajingo Gold Mine	Cockfields	CR0008	Reverse Circulation	439,711	7,770,684	266	22	-60	277	4015	1985
Pajingo Gold Mine	Cockfields	CR0009	Reverse Circulation	439,711	7,770,615	268	21.5	-60	97	4015	1985



Company	Target	HoleID	Hole Type	East (MGA)	North (MGA)	RL	Depth (m)	Dip (°)	Azimuth (° Mag)	Licence	Year
Pajingo Gold Mine	Cockfields	CR0010	Reverse Circulation	439,750	7,770,466	274	33	-60	277	4015	1985
Pajingo Gold Mine	Cockfields	CR0011	Reverse Circulation	439,780	7,770,468	273	20	-60	97	4015	1985
Pajingo Gold Mine	Cockfields	CR0012	Reverse Circulation	439,524	7,770,307	272	33	-60	45	4015	1985
Pajingo Gold Mine	Cockfields	CR0013	Reverse Circulation	442,231	7,766,625	278	9	-60	359	4015	1985
Pajingo Gold Mine	Cockfields	CR0014	Reverse Circulation	442,230	7,766,635	279	9	-60	359	4015	1985
Battle Mountain (Australia) Inc	Seventy Mile Creek	CR0031	Reverse Circulation	435,688	7,768,511	280	30	-60	165	4015	1987
Battle Mountain (Australia)	Seventy Mile Creek	CR0033	Reverse Circulation	435,800	7,768,704	273	28	-60	165	4015	1987
Battle Mountain (Australia)	Seventy Mile Creek	CR0034	Reverse Circulation	435,761	7,768,704	275	24	-60	165	4015	1987
Battle Mountain (Australia)	Seventy Mile Creek	SM1	Trench	435,897	7,768,568	276	25	0	350	4015	1987
Battle Mountain (Australia)	Seventy Mile Creek	SM2	Trench	435,736	7,768,588	275	25	0	350	4015	1987
Mount Leyshon Gold Mines	Puddler Creek	GS1	Reverse Circulation	425,443	7,755,772	366	84	-45	119	10144	1991
Mount Leyshon Gold Mines	Puddler Creek	GS2	Reverse Circulation	425,440	7,755,746	366	74.9	-52	125	10144	1993
Mount Leyshon Gold Mines	Puddler Creek	GS3	Reverse Circulation	425,429	7,755,742	372	86.6	-55	125	10144	1993
Mount Leyshon Gold Mines	Puddler Creek	GS4	Reverse Circulation	425,332	7,755,826	385	179.3	-75	126	10144	1993
Mount Leyshon Gold Mines	Puddler Creek	GSP10	Reverse Circulation	425,455	7,755,706	372	38	-57	125	10144	1993
Mount Leyshon Gold Mines	Puddler Creek	GSP11	Reverse Circulation	425,420	7,755,737	372	106	-54	125	10144	1993
Mount Leyshon Gold Mines	Puddler Creek	GSP12	Reverse Circulation	425,468	7,755,722	361	35	-52	125	10144	1993
Mount Leyshon Gold Mines	Puddler Creek	GSP13	Reverse Circulation	425,450	7,755,738	367	70	-51	125	10144	1993
Mount Leyshon Gold Mines	Puddler Creek	GSP14	Reverse Circulation	425,431	7,755,755	371	105	-51	126	10144	1993

Company	Target	HoleID	Hole Type	East (MGA)	North (MGA)	RL	Depth (m)	Dip (°)	Azimuth (° Mag)	Licence	Year
Mount Leyshon Gold Mines	Puddler Creek	GSP15	Reverse Circulation	425,476	7,755,810	357	100	-59	124	10144	1993
Mount Leyshon Gold Mines	Puddler Creek	GSP16	Reverse Circulation	425,492	7,755,795	353	75	-59	124	10144	1993
Mount Leyshon Gold Mines	Puddler Creek	GSP17	Reverse Circulation	425,508	7,755,781	353	50	-60	125	10144	1993
Mount Leyshon Gold Mines	Puddler Creek	GSP18	Reverse Circulation	425,496	7,755,759	354	40	-51	125	10144	1993
Mount Leyshon Gold Mines	Puddler Creek	GSP19	Reverse Circulation	425,478	7,755,775	358	70	-51	125	10144	1993
Mount Leyshon Gold Mines	Puddler Creek	GSP2	Reverse Circulation	425,505	7,755,821	351	80	-60	129	10144	1993
Mount Leyshon Gold Mines	Puddler Creek	GSP20	Reverse Circulation	425,459	7,755,791	365	110	-51	124	10144	1993
Mount Leyshon Gold Mines	Puddler Creek	GSP21	Reverse Circulation	425,486	7,755,833	357	100	-61	123	10144	1993
Mount Leyshon Gold Mines	Puddler Creek	GSP22	Reverse Circulation	425,470	7,755,749	360	50	-48	125	10144	1993
Mount Leyshon Gold Mines	Puddler Creek	GSP23	Reverse Circulation	425,434	7,755,779	365	100	-53	123	10144	1993
Mount Leyshon Gold Mines	Puddler Creek	GSP24	Reverse Circulation	425,459	7,755,730	367	55	-51	124	10144	1993
Mount Leyshon Gold Mines	Puddler Creek	GSP25	Reverse Circulation	425,442	7,755,690	372	34	-51	125	10144	1993
Mount Leyshon Gold Mines	Puddler Creek	GSP26	Reverse Circulation	425,422	7,755,709	376	70	-51	124	10144	1993
Mount Leyshon Gold Mines	Puddler Creek	GSP27	Reverse Circulation	425,523	7,755,832	347	50	-49	124	10144	1993
Mount Leyshon Gold Mines	Puddler Creek	GSP28	Reverse Circulation	425,504	7,755,849	349	85	-49	123	10144	1993
Mount Leyshon Gold Mines	Puddler Creek	GSP29	Reverse Circulation	425,657	7,755,782	344	60	-44	266	10144	1993
Mount Leyshon Gold Mines	Puddler Creek	GSP3	Reverse Circulation	425,478	7,755,788	358	80	-60	129	10144	1993
Mount Leyshon Gold Mines	Puddler Creek	GSP30	Reverse Circulation	425,635	7,755,757	343	60	-43	265	10144	1993

Company	Target	HoleID	Hole Type	East (MGA)	North (MGA)	RL	Depth (m)	Dip (°)	Azimuth (° Mag)	Licence	Year
Mount Leyshon Gold Mines	Puddler Creek	GSP31	Reverse Circulation	425,432	7,755,699	376	50	-51	126	10144	1993
Mount Leyshon Gold Mines	Puddler Creek	GSP32	Reverse Circulation	425,413	7,755,718	372	80	-51	126	10144	1993
Mount Leyshon Gold Mines	Puddler Creek	GSP33	Reverse Circulation	425,430	7,755,675	381	35	-54	124	10144	1993
Mount Leyshon Gold Mines	Puddler Creek	GSP34	Reverse Circulation	425,412	7,755,691	376	70	-54	125	10144	1993
Mount Leyshon Gold Mines	Puddler Creek	GSP35	Reverse Circulation	425,418	7,755,660	381	35	-54	124	10144	1993
Mount Leyshon Gold Mines	Puddler Creek	GSP36	Reverse Circulation	425,409	7,755,668	381	50	-54	125	10144	1993
Mount Leyshon Gold Mines	Puddler Creek	GSP37	Reverse Circulation	425,447	7,755,713	367	50	-54	128	10144	1993
Mount Leyshon Gold Mines	Puddler Creek	GSP38	Reverse Circulation	425,429	7,755,728	372	80	-56	126	10144	1993
Mount Leyshon Gold Mines	Puddler Creek	GSP39	Reverse Circulation	425,445	7,755,701	372	45	-54	127	10144	1993
Mount Leyshon Gold Mines	Puddler Creek	GSP4	Reverse Circulation	425,438	7,755,721	367	80	-60	129	10144	1993
Mount Leyshon Gold Mines	Puddler Creek	GSP40	Reverse Circulation	425,435	7,755,710	372	60	-54	125	10144	1993
Mount Leyshon Gold Mines	Puddler Creek	GSP41	Reverse Circulation	425,426	7,755,718	372	75	-55	126	10144	1993
Mount Leyshon Gold Mines	Puddler Creek	GSP42	Reverse Circulation	425,457	7,755,717	367	45	-55	125	10144	1993
Mount Leyshon Gold Mines	Puddler Creek	GSP43	Reverse Circulation	425,448	7,755,726	367	60	-54	124	10144	1993
Mount Leyshon Gold Mines	Puddler Creek	GSP44	Reverse Circulation	425,438	7,755,734	367	75	-55	128	10144	1993
Mount Leyshon Gold Mines	Puddler Creek	GSP45	Reverse Circulation	425,470	7,755,734	361	45	-55	125	10144	1993
Mount Leyshon Gold Mines	Puddler Creek	GSP46	Reverse Circulation	425,460	7,755,743	367	60	-54	125	10144	1993
Mount Leyshon Gold Mines	Puddler Creek	GSP47	Reverse Circulation	425,450	7,755,751	366	75	-55	125	10144	1993

Company	Target	HoleID	Hole Type	East (MGA)	North (MGA)	RL	Depth (m)	Dip (°)	Azimuth (° Mag)	Licence	Year
Mount Leyshon Gold Mines	Puddler Creek	GSP48	Reverse Circulation	425,500	7,755,788	353	60	-59	127	10144	1993
Mount Leyshon Gold Mines	Puddler Creek	GSP49	Reverse Circulation	425,484	7,755,802	358	80	-59	124	10144	1993
Mount Leyshon Gold Mines	Puddler Creek	GSP5	Reverse Circulation	425,485	7,755,905	349	52	-55	89	10144	1993
Mount Leyshon Gold Mines	Puddler Creek	GSP50	Reverse Circulation	425,497	7,755,774	354	50	-59	128	10144	1993
Mount Leyshon Gold Mines	Puddler Creek	GSP51	Reverse Circulation	425,489	7,755,781	358	70	-59	125	10144	1993
Mount Leyshon Gold Mines	Puddler Creek	GSP52	Reverse Circulation	425,433	7,755,686	376	45	-54	125	10144	1993
Mount Leyshon Gold Mines	Puddler Creek	GSP53	Reverse Circulation	425,424	7,755,694	376	60	-54	126	10144	1993
Mount Leyshon Gold Mines	Puddler Creek	GSP54	Reverse Circulation	425,414	7,755,703	376	75	-54	127	10144	1993
Mount Leyshon Gold Mines	Puddler Creek	GSP55	Reverse Circulation	425,408	7,755,734	372	80	-55	129	10144	1993
Mount Leyshon Gold Mines	Puddler Creek	GSP56	Reverse Circulation	425,476	7,755,672	365	55	-79	305	10144	1993
Mount Leyshon Gold Mines	Puddler Creek	GSP57	Reverse Circulation	425,432	7,755,767	371	100	-54	127	10144	1993
Mount Leyshon Gold Mines	Puddler Creek	GSP58	Reverse Circulation	425,401	7,755,752	378	80	-55	125	10144	1993
Mount Leyshon Gold Mines	Puddler Creek	GSP59	Reverse Circulation	425,411	7,755,771	371	120	-51	126	10144	1993
Mount Leyshon Gold Mines	Puddler Creek	GSP6	Reverse Circulation	425,509	7,755,903	347	66	-55	87	10144	1993
Mount Leyshon Gold Mines	Puddler Creek	GSP60	Reverse Circulation	425,377	7,755,772	378	140	-75	125	10144	1993
Mount Leyshon Gold Mines	Puddler Creek	GSP61	Reverse Circulation	425,398	7,755,796	379	80	-51	128	10144	1993
Mount Leyshon Gold Mines	Puddler Creek	GSP62	Reverse Circulation	425,490	7,755,688	363	55	-79	305	10144	1993
Mount Leyshon Gold Mines	Puddler Creek	GSP63	Reverse Circulation	425,424	7,755,774	371	75	-65	125	10144	1994

Company	Target	HoleID	Hole Type	East (MGA)	North (MGA)	RL	Depth (m)	Dip (°)	Azimuth (° Mag)	Licence	Year
Mount Leyshon Gold Mines	Puddler Creek	GSP64	Reverse Circulation	425,395	7,755,798	379	95	-64	126	10144	1994
Mount Leyshon Gold Mines	Puddler Creek	GSP65	Reverse Circulation	425,420	7,755,792	373	80	-65	125	10144	1994
Mount Leyshon Gold Mines	Puddler Creek	GSP66	Reverse Circulation	425,402	7,755,766	378	85	-64	124	10144	1994
Mount Leyshon Gold Mines	Puddler Creek	GSP67	Reverse Circulation	425,526	7,755,802	349	28	-67	125	10144	1994
Mount Leyshon Gold Mines	Puddler Creek	GSP68	Reverse Circulation	425,507	7,755,799	353	35	-65	125	10144	1994
Mount Leyshon Gold Mines	Puddler Creek	GSP69	Reverse Circulation	425,523	7,755,819	347	35	-64	126	10144	1994
Mount Leyshon Gold Mines	Puddler Creek	GSP7	Reverse Circulation	425,518	7,755,809	351	33	-60	120	10144	1993
Mount Leyshon Gold Mines	Puddler Creek	GSP70	Reverse Circulation	425,437	7,755,810	364	80	-64	123	10144	1994
Mount Leyshon Gold Mines	Puddler Creek	GSP71	Reverse Circulation	425,413	7,755,814	372	90	-64	124	10144	1994
Mount Leyshon Gold Mines	Puddler Creek	GSP72	Reverse Circulation	425,389	7,755,820	378	100	-61	125	10144	1994
Mount Leyshon Gold Mines	Puddler Creek	GSP73	Reverse Circulation	425,381	7,755,747	378	75	-64	123	10144	1994
Mount Leyshon Gold Mines	Puddler Creek	GSP74	Reverse Circulation	425,466	7,755,769	360	55	-62	124	10144	1994
Mount Leyshon Gold Mines	Puddler Creek	GSP75	Reverse Circulation	425,376	7,755,831	378	90	-60	124	10144	1994
Mount Leyshon Gold Mines	Puddler Creek	GSP76	Reverse Circulation	425,402	7,755,809	378	95	-62	124	10144	1994
Mount Leyshon Gold Mines	Puddler Creek	GSP77	Reverse Circulation	425,376	7,755,802	379	100	-64	123	10144	1994
Mount Leyshon Gold Mines	Puddler Creek	GSP8	Reverse Circulation	425,563	7,755,842	345	51	-60	122	10144	1993
Mount Leyshon Gold Mines	Puddler Creek	GSP9	Reverse Circulation	425,540	7,755,861	346	90	-60	122	10144	1993
Mount Leyshon Gold Mines	Puddler Creek	LXR011	Reverse Circulation	425,728	7,754,875	344	100	-60	45	10144	1999

Company	Target	HoleID	Hole Type	East (MGA)	North (MGA)	RL	Depth (m)	Dip (°)	Azimuth (° Mag)	Licence	Year
Mount Leyshon Gold Mines	Puddler Creek	MLRC102 1	Reverse Circulation	425,377	7,756,015	373	204	-60	172	10144	1999
Mount Leyshon Gold Mines	Puddler Creek	MLRC102 2	Reverse Circulation	425,341	7,755,822	385	124	-60	315	10144	1999
Mount Leyshon Gold Mines	Puddler Creek	MLRC102 3	Reverse Circulation	425,344	7,755,819	385	234	-55	262	10144	1999
Mount Leyshon Gold Mines	Puddler Creek	MLRC104 4	Reverse Circulation	425,530	7,755,770	348	120	-60	115	10144	1999
Mount Leyshon Gold Mines	Puddler Creek	MLRC980	Reverse Circulation	425,341	7,755,768	393	426	-53	245	10144	1999
Pan Australian Mining	Lighthouse	LHP001	Percussion	432,972	7,759,398	291	33	-60	170	4229	1988
Pan Australian Mining	Lighthouse	LHP002	Percussion	432,905	7,759,372	288	33	-60	190	4229	1988
Pan Australian Mining	Lighthouse	LHP003	Percussion	432,907	7,759,390	288	57	-60	190	4229	1988
City Resources	Matthews Pinnacle	MP125	Reverse Circulation	429,517	7,760,394	336	96	-60	170	4229	1987
City Resources	Matthews Pinnacle	MP126	Reverse Circulation	429,518	7,760,344	344	84	-60	170	4229	1987
City Resources	Pinnacle Creek	MP127	Diamond	428,377	7,760,029	317	99	-60	210	4229	1987
City Resources	Pinnacle Creek	MP128	Diamond	428,336	7,760,023	318	79.5	-60	210	4229	1987
City Resources	Pinnacle Creek	MP129	Reverse Circulation	428,326	7,760,069	319	108	-60	210	4229	1987
City Resources	Seventy Mile Mount	SM001	Reverse Circulation	431,412	7,761,377	320	130	-60	130	4229	1987
City Resources	Seventy Mile Mount	SM002	Diamond	431,419	7,761,333	323	81	-60	130	4229	1987
Esso Australia	Westgate	MP001	Diamond	429,909	7,757,829	290	93	-60	90	4229	1986
Esso Australia	Pinnacle Creek	MP002	Diamond	428,337	7,759,984	320	54.2	-60	210	4229	1986
Esso Australia	Pinnacle Creek	MP003	Diamond	428,424	7,759,919	320	29.3	-60	210	4229	1986
Esso Australia	Pinnacle Creek	MP004	Diamond	428,440	7,759,945	319	62.5	-60	210	4229	1986
Esso Australia	Westgate	MP111	Reverse Circulation	429,933	7,757,835	289	42	-60	90	4229	1986
Esso Australia	Westgate	MP112	Reverse Circulation	429,939	7,757,810	289	42	-60	90	4229	1986
Esso Australia	Puddler Creek	MP113	Reverse Circulation	429,129	7,758,774	313	48	-60	70	4229	1986

Company	Target	HoleID	Hole Type	East (MGA)	North (MGA)	RL	Depth (m)	Dip (°)	Azimuth (° Mag)	Licence	Year
Esso Australia	Puddler Creek	MP114	Reverse Circulation	429,018	7,758,936	308	60	-60	25	4229	1986
Esso Australia	Pinnacle Creek	MP115	Reverse Circulation	428,356	7,759,954	322	30	-60	210	4229	1986
Esso Australia	Pinnacle Creek	MP116	Reverse Circulation	428,357	7,759,969	320	60	-60	210	4229	1986
Esso Australia	Pinnacle Creek	MP118	Reverse Circulation	428,448	7,759,894	322	42	-60	210	4229	1986
Esso Australia	Pinnacle Creek	MP119	Reverse Circulation	428,599	7,759,812	320	42	-60	230	4229	1986
Esso Australia	Matthews Pinnacle	MP120	Reverse Circulation	429,517	7,760,284	364	80	-60	170	4229	1986
Esso Australia	Matthews Pinnacle	MP121	Reverse Circulation	429,483	7,760,543	320	80	-60	90	4229	1986
Esso Australia	Matthews Pinnacle	MP122	Reverse Circulation	429,466	7,760,773	316	60	-60	130	4229	1986
Esso Australia	Matthews Pinnacle	MP123	Reverse Circulation	429,445	7,760,845	318	54	-60	75	4229	1986
Esso Australia	Matthews Pinnacle	MP124	Reverse Circulation	429,676	7,760,785	315	42	-60	55	4229	1986
Mount Leyshon Gold Mines	Matthews Pinnacle	LMD001	Reverse Circulation	429,509	7,759,975	331	262.05	-51	188	4229	1998
Mount Leyshon Gold Mines	Matthews Pinnacle	LMD002	Reverse Circulation	429,506	7,759,973	331	349	-50	263	4229	1998
Mount Leyshon Gold Mines	Puddler Creek	LMR001	Reverse Circulation	429,104	7,758,864	309	108	-58	11	4229	1998
Mount Leyshon Gold Mines	Puddler Creek	LMR002	Reverse Circulation	429,197	7,758,924	309	153	-64	14	4229	1998
Mount Leyshon Gold Mines	Puddler Creek	LMR003	Reverse Circulation	429,564	7,758,921	305	153	-63	5	4229	1998
Mount Leyshon Gold Mines	Puddler Creek	LMR004	Reverse Circulation	429,636	7,758,653	313	153	-64	1	4229	1998
Mount Leyshon Gold Mines	Puddler Creek	LMR005	Reverse Circulation	428,389	7,758,375	305	153	-60	180	4229	1998
Mount Leyshon Gold Mines	Puddler Creek	LMR006	Reverse Circulation	428,379	7,758,331	302	140	-60	180	4229	1998
Mount Leyshon Gold Mines	Puddler Creek	LMR007	Reverse Circulation	428,437	7,758,151	305	153.05	-79	182	4229	1998
Mount Leyshon Gold Mines	Puddler Creek	LMR008	Reverse Circulation	428,434	7,758,102	306	150	-61	173	4229	1998
Mount Leyshon Gold Mines	Puddler Creek	LMR009	Reverse Circulation	428,706	7,758,229	312	153.3	-61	85	4229	1998

Company	Target	HoleID	Hole Type	East (MGA)	North (MGA)	RL	Depth (m)	Dip (°)	Azimuth (° Mag)	Licence	Year
Mount Leyshon Gold Mines	Puddler Creek	LMR010	Reverse Circulation	428,800	7,757,508	298	81	-90	0	4229	1998
Mount Leyshon Gold Mines	Puddler Creek	LMR011	Reverse Circulation	429,383	7,758,949	303	150	-60	0	4229	1998
Mount Leyshon Gold Mines	Matthews Pinnacle	LMR013	Reverse Circulation	429,601	7,760,242	372	225	-50	180	4229	2000
Mount Leyshon Gold Mines	Matthews Pinnacle	LMR014	Reverse Circulation	429,600	7,759,847	321	370	-45	352	4229	2000
Mount Leyshon Gold Mines	Matthews Pinnacle	LMR015	Reverse Circulation	429,597	7,759,968	329	272	-45	352	4229	2000
Mount Leyshon Gold Mines	Seventy Mile Mount	LRA001	Reverse Circulation	432,150	7,761,438	315	39	-60	75	4229	1998
Mount Leyshon Gold Mines	Seventy Mile Mount	LRA002	Reverse Circulation	431,500	7,760,358	292	72	-60	200	4229	1998
Mount Leyshon Gold Mines	Seventy Mile Mount	LRA003	Reverse Circulation	431,440	7,760,278	292	67	-60	140	4229	1998
Mount Leyshon Gold Mines	Seventy Mile Mount	LRA004	Reverse Circulation	431,320	7,759,988	292	81	-60	90	4229	1998
Mount Leyshon Gold Mines	Seventy Mile Mount	LRA005	Reverse Circulation	431,240	7,759,768	293	54	-60	180	4229	1998
Mount Leyshon Gold Mines	Seventy Mile Mount	LRA006	Reverse Circulation	431,360	7,760,088	288	37	-60	90	4229	1998
Mount Leyshon Gold Mines	Seventy Mile Mount	LRA007	Reverse Circulation	431,410	7,760,163	288	12	-90	0	4229	1998
Mount Leyshon Gold Mines	Seventy Mile Mount	LRA008	Reverse Circulation	431,280	7,759,898	297	9	-60	180	4229	1998
Mount Leyshon Gold Mines	Seventy Mile Mount	LRA009	Reverse Circulation	431,300	7,761,333	314	55	-60	180	4229	1998
Mount Leyshon Gold Mines	Seventy Mile Mount	LRA010	Reverse Circulation	431,300	7,761,408	316	63	-60	180	4229	1998
Mount Leyshon Gold Mines	Seventy Mile Mount	LRA011	Reverse Circulation	431,300	7,761,508	323	61	-60	360	4229	1998
Mount Leyshon Gold Mines	Seventy Mile Mount	LRA012	Reverse Circulation	431,300	7,761,483	321	61	-60	360	4229	1998
Mount Leyshon Gold Mines	Seventy Mile Mount	LRA013	Reverse Circulation	431,400	7,761,418	321	73	-60	90	4229	1998



Company	Target	HoleID	Hole Type	East (MGA)	North (MGA)	RL	Depth (m)	Dip (°)	Azimuth (° Mag)	Licence	Year
Mount Leyshon Gold Mines	Seventy Mile Mount	LRA014	Reverse Circulation	431,500	7,761,533	334	52	-60	180	4229	1998
Mount Leyshon Gold Mines	Seventy Mile Mount	LRA015	Reverse Circulation	431,500	7,761,508	334	55	-60	180	4229	1998
Mount Leyshon Gold Mines	Seventy Mile Mount	LRA016	Reverse Circulation	430,850	7,761,273	322	61	-60	360	4229	1998
Mount Leyshon Gold Mines	Seventy Mile Mount	LRA017	Reverse Circulation	430,800	7,761,298	320	49	-60	360	4229	1998
Mount Leyshon Gold Mines	Seventy Mile Mount	LRA018	Reverse Circulation	430,750	7,761,273	317	49	-60	360	4229	1998
Mount Leyshon Gold Mines	Seventy Mile Mount	LRA019	Reverse Circulation	430,300	7,761,328	323	61	-60	90	4229	1998
Mount Leyshon Gold Mines	Matthews Pinnacle	LRA020	Reverse Circulation	430,300	7,760,358	303	61	-60	262	4229	1998
Mount Leyshon Gold Mines	Matthews Pinnacle	LRA021	Reverse Circulation	428,930	7,761,008	324	37	-60	225	4229	1998
Mount Leyshon Gold Mines	Matthews Pinnacle	LRA022	Reverse Circulation	428,860	7,760,908	325	67	-90	0	4229	1998
Mount Leyshon Gold Mines	Matthews Pinnacle	LRA023	Reverse Circulation	428,790	7,760,843	327	49	-60	45	4229	1998
Mount Leyshon Gold Mines	Matthews Pinnacle	LRA024	Reverse Circulation	428,730	7,760,768	327	52	-60	45	4229	1998
Mount Leyshon Gold Mines	Matthews Pinnacle	LRA025	Reverse Circulation	428,650	7,760,728	324	57	-60	20	4229	1998
Mount Leyshon Gold Mines	Matthews Pinnacle	LRA026	Reverse Circulation	429,200	7,760,258	324	60	-60	90	4229	1998
Mount Leyshon Gold Mines	Matthews Pinnacle	LRA027	Reverse Circulation	429,400	7,760,258	353	70	-60	90	4229	1998
Mount Leyshon Gold Mines	Seventy Mile Mount	LSD001	Reverse Circulation	431,697	7,761,332	392	340.17	-70	174	4229	1998
Mount Leyshon Gold Mines	Seventy Mile Mount	LSD002	Reverse Circulation	431,633	7,761,242	353	496	-80	59	4229	1999
Mount Leyshon Gold Mines	Seventy Mile Mount	LSR001	Reverse Circulation	431,347	7,761,042	323	284	-60	82	4229	1999
Mount Leyshon Gold Mines	Seventy Mile Mount	LSR002	Reverse Circulation	431,635	7,761,235	345	172	-60	142	4229	1999

Company	Target	HoleID	Hole Type	East (MGA)	North (MGA)	RL	Depth (m)	Dip (°)	Azimuth (° Mag)	Licence	Year
Mount Leyshon Gold Mines	Seventy Mile Mount	LSR003	Reverse Circulation	431,347	7,761,100	318	486.4	-51	52	4229	1999
Mount Leyshon Gold Mines	Puddler Creek	LXR013	Reverse Circulation	425,422	7,752,923	324	102	-60	262	4229	1999
Mount Leyshon Gold Mines	Puddler Creek	LXR016	Reverse Circulation	427,188	7,759,031	321	51	-55	47	4229	2000
Mount Leyshon Gold Mines	Puddler Creek	LXR017	Reverse Circulation	427,331	7,759,205	324	90	-55	47	4229	2000
Mount Leyshon Gold Mines	Puddler Creek	LXR018	Reverse Circulation	427,370	7,759,238	324	90	-55	47	4229	2000
Mount Leyshon Gold Mines	Puddler Creek	LXR019	Reverse Circulation	427,098	7,759,242	324	90	-55	47	4229	2000
Mount Leyshon Gold Mines	Puddler Creek	LXR020	Reverse Circulation	427,196	7,759,328	321	90	-55	47	4229	2000
Mount Leyshon Gold Mines	Puddler Creek	LXR021	Reverse Circulation	427,235	7,759,354	323	90	-55	47	4229	2000
Mount Leyshon Gold Mines	Puddler Creek	LXR022	Reverse Circulation	427,270	7,759,383	326	90	-55	47	4229	2000
Mount Leyshon Gold Mines	Puddler Creek	LXR023	Reverse Circulation	427,184	7,758,748	315	50	-55	47	4229	2000
Mount Leyshon Gold Mines	Seventy Mile Mount	MMRC001	Reverse Circulation	431,038	7,761,113	340	336	-50	172	4229	1999
Pan Australian Mining	Puddler Creek	PDP001	Percussion	431,944	7,760,112	291	39	-60	137	4229	1988
Pan Australian Mining	Puddler Creek	PDP002	Percussion	431,964	7,760,104	292	39	-60	183	4229	1988
Pan Australian Mining	Puddler Creek	PDP003	Percussion	431,964	7,760,113	291	60	-60	290	4229	1988
Pan Australian Mining	Puddler Creek	PDP004	Percussion	431,940	7,760,122	291	51	-60	140	4229	1988
Rishton (Gold)	Rishton Sands	RSA039	Bedrock	445,400	7,771,008	255	12	-90	350	10585	1996
Rishton (Gold)	Rishton Sands	RSA040	Bedrock	445,300	7,771,008	257	21	-90	350	10585	1996
Rishton (Gold)	Rishton Sands	RSA041	Bedrock	445,200	7,771,008	259	19	-90	350	10585	1996
Rishton (Gold)	Rishton Sands	RSA042	Bedrock	445,100	7,771,008	264	24	-90	350	10585	1996

Company	Target	HoleID	Hole Type	East (MGA)	North (MGA)	RL	Depth (m)	Dip (°)	Azimuth (° Mag)	Licence	Year
Rishton (Gold)	Rishton Sands	RSA043	Bedrock	445,000	7,771,008	270	36	-90	350	10585	1996
Rishton (Gold)	Rishton Sands	RSA044	Bedrock	444,900	7,771,008	269	34	-90	350	10585	1996
Rishton (Gold)	Rishton Sands	RSA124	Bedrock	444,700	7,771,008	265	24	-90	350	10585	1997
Rishton (Gold)	Rishton Sands	RSA125	Bedrock	444,800	7,771,008	268	34	-90	350	10585	1997
Rishton (Gold)	McPhersons	MPA001	Bedrock	448,090	7,769,358	257	12	-90	350	10585	1996
Rishton (Gold)	McPhersons	MPA002	Bedrock	448,145	7,769,343	259	12	-90	350	10585	1996
Rishton (Gold)	McPhersons	MPA003	Bedrock	448,200	7,769,328	259	12	-90	350	10585	1996
Rishton (Gold)	McPhersons	MPA004	Bedrock	448,255	7,769,313	260	16	-90	350	10585	1996
Rishton (Gold)	McPhersons	MPA005	Bedrock	448,310	7,769,298	262	14	-90	350	10585	1996
Rishton (Gold)	McPhersons	MPA006	Bedrock	448,365	7,769,283	262	15	-90	350	10585	1996
Rishton (Gold)	McPhersons	MPA007	Bedrock	448,420	7,769,268	261	21	-90	350	10585	1996
Rishton (Gold)	McPhersons	MPA008	Bedrock	448,475	7,769,253	263	19	-90	350	10585	1996
Rishton (Gold)	McPhersons	MPA009	Bedrock	448,530	7,769,238	263	12	-90	350	10585	1996
Rishton (Gold)	Rishton Sands	RSA018	Bedrock	450,800	7,772,008	249	20	-90	350	10585	1996
Rishton (Gold)	Rishton Sands	RSA019	Bedrock	450,700	7,772,008	244	12	-90	350	10585	1996
Rishton (Gold)	Rishton Sands	RSA020	Bedrock	450,600	7,772,008	242	14	-90	350	10585	1996
Rishton (Gold)	Rishton Sands	RSA021	Bedrock	450,500	7,772,008	247	12	-90	350	10585	1996
Rishton (Gold)	Rishton Sands	RSA022	Bedrock	450,400	7,772,008	248	13	-90	350	10585	1996
Rishton (Gold)	Rishton Sands	RSA030	Bedrock	450,270	7,772,008	248	17	-90	350	10585	1996
Rishton (Gold)	Rishton Sands	RSA031	Bedrock	450,280	7,771,958	250	14	-90	350	10585	1996
Rishton (Gold)	Rishton Sands	RSA032	Bedrock	450,500	7,771,408	262	11	-90	350	10585	1996
Rishton (Gold)	Rishton Sands	RSA033	Bedrock	450,500	7,771,208	267	10	-90	350	10585	1996
Rishton (Gold)	Rishton Sands	RSA034	Bedrock	450,400	7,771,208	274	4	-90	350	10585	1996
Rishton (Gold)	Rishton Sands	RSA035	Bedrock	450,300	7,771,208	280	12	-90	350	10585	1996
Rishton (Gold)	Rishton Sands	RSA036	Bedrock	450,200	7,771,208	280	27	-90	350	10585	1996
Rishton (Gold)	Rishton Sands	RSA037	Bedrock	450,100	7,771,208	279	27	-90	350	10585	1996

Company	Target	HoleID	Hole Type	East (MGA)	North (MGA)	RL	Depth (m)	Dip (°)	Azimuth (° Mag)	Licence	Year
Rishton (Gold)	Rishton Sands	RSA038	Bedrock	450,000	7,771,208	278	33	-90	350	10585	1996
Rishton (Gold)	Rishton Workings	RSA045	Bedrock	449,200	7,770,973	252	8	-90	350	10585	1996
Rishton (Gold)	Rishton Workings	RSA046	Bedrock	449,200	7,771,023	252	9	-90	350	10585	1996
Rishton (Gold)	Rishton Workings	RSA047	Bedrock	449,200	7,771,073	252	13	-90	350	10585	1996
Rishton (Gold)	Rishton Workings	RSA048	Bedrock	449,200	7,771,123	249	15	-90	350	10585	1996
Rishton (Gold)	Rishton Workings	RSA049	Bedrock	449,200	7,771,173	255	10	-90	350	10585	1996
Rishton (Gold)	Rishton Sands	RSA103	Bedrock	450,400	7,771,808	256	15	-90	350	10585	1997
Rishton (Gold)	Rishton Sands	RSA104	Bedrock	450,500	7,771,808	256	16	-90	350	10585	1997
Rishton (Gold)	Rishton Sands	RSA105	Bedrock	450,600	7,771,808	248	15	-90	350	10585	1997
Rishton (Gold)	Rishton Sands	RSA106	Bedrock	450,800	7,771,808	250	15	-90	350	10585	1997
Rishton (Gold)	Rishton Sands	RSA107	Bedrock	450,330	7,771,808	258	31	-90	350	10585	1997
Rishton (Gold)	Rishton Sands	RSA108	Bedrock	450,200	7,771,808	258	17	-90	350	10585	1997
Rishton (Gold)	Rishton Sands	RSA109	Bedrock	450,700	7,771,808	246	13	-90	350	10585	1997
Rishton (Gold)	Rishton Sands	RSA110	Bedrock	450,500	7,771,608	257	25	-90	350	10585	1997
Rishton (Gold)	Rishton Sands	RSA111	Bedrock	450,600	7,771,608	254	18	-90	350	10585	1997
Rishton (Gold)	Rishton Sands	RSA112	Bedrock	450,700	7,771,608	251	25	-90	350	10585	1997
Rishton (Gold)	Rishton Sands	RSA113	Bedrock	450,400	7,771,608	262	26	-90	350	10585	1997
Rishton (Gold)	Rishton Sands	RSA114	Bedrock	450,300	7,771,608	264	36	-90	350	10585	1997
Rishton (Gold)	Rishton Sands	RSA115	Bedrock	450,200	7,771,608	265	33	-90	350	10585	1997
Rishton (Gold)	Rishton Sands	RSA116	Bedrock	450,100	7,771,608	263	25	-90	350	10585	1997
Rishton (Gold)	Rishton Sands	RSA117	Bedrock	450,600	7,771,408	254	16	-90	350	10585	1997
Rishton (Gold)	Rishton Sands	RSA118	Bedrock	450,400	7,771,408	268	22	-90	350	10585	1997
Rishton (Gold)	Rishton Sands	RSA119	Bedrock	450,300	7,771,408	271	24	-90	350	10585	1997
Rishton (Gold)	Rishton Sands	RSA120	Bedrock	450,200	7,771,408	272	38	-90	350	10585	1997
Rishton (Gold)	Rishton Sands	RSA121	Bedrock	450,100	7,771,408	271	39	-90	350	10585	1997
Rishton (Gold)	Rishton Sands	RSA122	Bedrock	450,000	7,771,408	275	34	-90	350	10585	1997

Company	Target	HoleID	Hole Type	East (MGA)	North (MGA)	RL	Depth (m)	Dip (°)	Azimuth (° Mag)	Licence	Year
Rishton (Gold)	Rishton Sands	RSA123	Bedrock	449,900	7,771,208	273	39	-90	350	10585	1997
Union Oil Development Corp	Red Dust	RD001	Percussion	446,447	7,771,037	236	30	-55	17	4528	1988
Union Oil Development Corp	Red Dust	RD002	Percussion	446,457	7,771,048	238	30	-57	17	4528	1988
Union Oil Development Corp	Red Dust	RD003	Percussion	446,438	7,771,028	237	53	-55	17	4528	1988
Union Oil Development Corp	Red Dust	RD004	Percussion	446,423	7,771,092	237	28	-55	17	4528	1988
Union Oil Development Corp	Red Dust	RD005	Percussion	446,402	7,771,073	238	30	-55	17	4528	1988
Union Oil Development Corp	Red Dust	RD006	Percussion	446,468	7,770,976	239	38	-55	17	4528	1988
Union Oil Development Corp	Red Dust	RD007	Percussion	446,490	7,770,957	241	20	-55	17	4528	1988
Union Oil Development Corp	Red Dust	RD008	Percussion	446,421	7,771,051	238	26	-55	17	4528	1988
Union Oil Development Corp	Red Dust	RD009	Percussion	446,367	7,771,120	240	30	-55	17	4528	1988
Union Oil Development Corp	Red Dust	RD010	Percussion	446,233	7,771,148	240	40	-55	17	4528	1988
Union Oil Development Corp	Red Dust	RD011	Percussion	446,198	7,771,104	241	30	-55	17	4528	1988
Union Oil Development Corp	Red Dust	RD012	Percussion	446,507	7,771,015	239	20	-55	17	4528	1988
Union Oil Development Corp	Red Dust	RD013	Percussion	446,445	7,770,996	236	70	-60	17	4528	1988
Union Oil Development Corp	Red Dust	RD014	Percussion	446,398	7,771,029	239	93	-60	17	4528	1988
Union Oil Development Corp	Red Dust	RD015	Percussion	446,380	7,771,052	237	92	-60	17	4528	1988
Newcrest Operations	Seventy Mile Mount	FEN001	RC / Diamond	431,808	7,761,602	351	383	-47	191	10203	2004
Newcrest Operations	Seventy Mile Mount	FEN002	RC / Diamond	431,811	7,761,181	330	471	-55	349	10203	2004
Newcrest Operations	Gold & Black	FEN003	RC / Diamond	430,720	7,761,511	311	450.3	-55	180	10203	2004

Company	Target	HoleID	Hole Type	East (MGA)	North (MGA)	RL	Depth (m)	Dip (°)	Azimuth (° Mag)	Licence	Year
Newcrest Operations	Middle Mount	FEN004	RC / Diamond	431,355	7,761,248	314	450	-50	211	10203	2004
Newcrest Operations	Black Knight	FEN005	RC / Diamond	429,682	7,759,881	324	867.6	-55	165	10203	2004
Newcrest Operations	Breccia Knoll	FEN006	RC / Diamond	430,115	7,760,743	312	410.8	-55	160	10203	2004
Newcrest Operations	Matthews Pinnacle	FEN007	RC / Diamond	429,646	7,760,107	348	627.6	-61	172	10203	2005
Newcrest Operations	Matthews Pinnacle	FEN008	RC / Diamond	429,726	7,760,549	314	657.6	-53	172	10203	2005
Newcrest Operations	Matthews Pinnacle	FEN009	RC / Diamond	429,137	7,759,621	304	702.4	-50	58	10203	2005
Newcrest Operations	Matthews Pinnacle	FEN010	RC / Diamond	429,690	7,758,942	302	1116.6	-51	4	10203	2005
Newcrest Operations	Matthews Pinnacle	FEN011	RC / Diamond	430,116	7,760,379	306	786	-50	152	10203	2007